

NIST 800-171 Rev. 2 → Rev. 3



Preparing for What's Next Without Breaking What Works Today



REV.2: Where we are today

- CMMC Level 2 = NIST SP 800-171 Rev. 2 (110 controls)
- Required today for DoD contracts
- Assessments follow 800-171A Rev. 2
- Rev. 3 is NOT required yet (pending rulemaking)

REV.3: Whats Coming

- Aligns more closely with NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 5
- New control families (ex. Supply Chain Risk)
- More assessment objectives 320 → 420
- Organization Defined Parameters (ODPs) standardized by DoD
- NFO controls now required (previously assumed)

CAUTION: FEWER CONTROLS ≠ LESS WORK



- Controls were merged, not removed
- Evidence expectations increase
- More specificity = more to prove

KEY CHANGES AT A GLANCE

Supply Chain Risk

- Formal third-party risk requirements
- Stronger flow-down to subcontractors

Access & Identity

- MFA required for ALL system accounts
- Tighter identity & authentication controls

Configuration & Software

- Shift to deny-by-default / allow-by-exception
- Stronger baseline & exception documentation

Policy & Evidence

- Formalized policy lifecycle requirements
- Increased expectation for proof—not intent

NIST 800-171 Rev. 2 → Rev. 3

Level 2 certification is based on a defined system, boundary, & scope. Preparing for Rev. 3 is necessary, but doing it incorrectly could invalidate your current certification.



DO

(what you should be doing now)

- Improve documentation, policies, and procedures
- Define and standardize ODPs (passwords, timeouts, etc.)
- Strengthen existing controls (more evidence, better implementation)
- Clarify CUI boundaries and data flows
- Implement controls within your current scope

These actions strengthen compliance without changing your certified state



DON'T

(What Can Break Compliance)

- Changing system architecture or network design
- Expanding or redefining CUI scope/boundary
- Adding new in-scope systems, users, or providers
- Shifting where or how CUI is stored/processed
- Making changes that invalidate your assessed environment

These may trigger a reassessment requirement

Ned Says:

“Before making a change, ask:

- *Does this change what’s in scope?*
- *Does it change where CUI lives?*
- *Does it change how systems connect or operate?*

If YES → Proceed carefully (reassessment risk)

If NO → Likely safe to move forward”



Bottom Line:

- Stay aligned to your current certified environment
- Focus on incremental, defensible improvements
- Avoid changes to scope, boundary, or architecture
- Build toward Rev. 3—without triggering reassessment